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Structure of the talk

- A background of non-market valuation (NMV) methods
- A snap-shot of NMV studies
- Current work on preparation of a NMV database
Non-market valuation methods
Benefit assessment

There are two parts to estimate benefits:

- First, information is needed on how the condition of the environment will be changed by the project. We would need information on condition with and without the project.

- Second, a value needs to be placed on the change in condition.
Benefit assessment

- Assigning a value can be particularly difficult where values are not reflected in market prices (so called ‘non-market’ values).

- For example, while it is understood that many people value the experience of clear waterways, there are no market prices that directly reflect these values.
Benefit assessment

Market methods

- Market price
- Replacement costs
- Dose-response function

Non-market methods

- Revealed preference method
- Stated preference method

Non-market methods

- Hedonic price method
- Travel cost method
- Contingent valuation
- Choice experiment

- Damage cost avoided
- Mitigation cost
- Opportunity cost
There are two main types of non-market valuation methods: revealed preference and stated preference.

- **Revealed preference method**: It uses observations of purchasing decisions and other behaviour to estimate non-market values.

- **Stated preference method**: Stated preference methods involve asking people. People are asked to make choices between project options, their choices are used to estimate non-market values.
Measuring non-market values: Hedonic

- Environmental values built into house prices
- Observe many house sales
- Apply multiple regression to tease out the various factors affecting house prices
- Captures private benefits to local residents, but not benefits to others, e.g., downstream flood mitigation
Measuring non-market values: Stated preference

- Surveys of general public
- Put various hypothetical scenarios to people
- Ask which scenario they prefer
- Tease out the trade-offs using statistics
- Captures use & non-use values. But, hypothetical and relies on people understanding the issues
Benefit transfer

- Benefit transfer uses economic information captured at one place and time to make inferences about the economic value of goods and services at another place and time.

- Benefit transfer is often used when it is too expensive and/or there is too little time available to conduct an original valuation study, yet some measure of benefits is needed.
Benefit transfer

- There are various methods of benefit transfer

- **Unit value transfer**: transfer of a single number or set of numbers from pre-existing primary studies.

- Unit values can be transferred “as is” or adjusted using a variety of different approaches (e.g., for differences in income or purchasing power, or according to expert opinion).
Benefit transfer

- Benefit function transfer: derive information using an estimated, typically parametric function derived from original research.

- Function transfers typically outperform unit value transfers in terms of accuracy. However, unit value transfers can perform satisfactorily if the study and policy contexts are similar enough.
Benefit transfer

- The primary advantages of unit value transfers are ease of implementation and minimal data requirements.

- Moreover, if the study and policy sites (and relevant changes in the good) are very similar, unit value transfers can perform acceptably.
NMV studies of water sensitive cities and practices within the CRC WSC
Use of non-market valuation estimates

- I am going to focus on 4 studies on non-market valuations
  - Local stormwater management
  - Rainwater tank
  - Living stream
  - Buffer zone management
Study 1: Valuing environmental services associated with local stormwater management

Stormwater

- Stormwater management provides multiple benefits. Few of the secondary benefits associated with local stormwater management have been quantified in dollar-equivalent terms.

- Conducted choice experiments with nearly one thousand households from four metropolitan councils in Melbourne and Sydney.

- Respondents were asked to choose among different options for improving local stormwater management.
Stormwater

- There is significant economic support for stormwater projects. Marginal willingness to pay ($) per household per year (median)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Melbourne</th>
<th>Sydney</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of flash flood by half</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flood never</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream health (medium)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stream health (high)</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of level 3 &amp; 4 water restrictions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal of complete water restrictions</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of temperature by 2 degree</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are estimated in comparison to the status Quo (or the current scenario).
Study 2: Capitalization of Decentralised Urban Rainwater Collection Systems in Perth Property Market

Rainwater tank

- Total sample size: 77,234

- Hedonic price analysis where total house price is decomposed into attribute prices

- The attributes:
  - House specific measures including presence of rainwater tank
  - Time effect – general house price changes
  - Spatial effect – captures spatial heterogeneity
Rainwater tank

- Rainwater tanks have a premium of up to AU$18,000

- The robustness of our estimated premium is investigated using both bounded regression analysis and simulation methods and the result is found to be highly robust.
Study 3: Capitalised Amenity Value of Urban Stream Restoration in Perth

Living stream

Conversion of drain to “living stream” (Bannister Creek)
Living stream

- Single-family homes sold 1990-2013
- 16,553 sales of 8,088 properties
- 5020 sold 2 to 7 times
- 339 sales within 200m of the restoration site
- 175 after 2000
- Includes data about land area, no bedrooms, no bathrooms, no car spaces, construction, pool, suburb, house age, year, quarter
Living stream
Study 4: Non-market valuation of buffer zone management of wastewater treatment plants

Buffer

- Buffer zones are commonly applied to wastewater treatment plants to identify the area impacted by odour. How that land is best used depends, in part, on community values.

- This study conducted a survey (n=709) to understand community preferences for different land uses within buffer zones in Perth and regional Western Australia.
Buffer

- 4 land use attributes: nature conservation, agriculture, sports & recreation and industry.

- The choice experiment involved two information conditions, one using text and tables only, the other had the option for respondents to view land use maps.
Buffer

- There was a clear, consistent, preference ordering for land use within buffer zones.
- The most preferred land use was nature conservation.
Changing current land zoning at 3 treatment plants shows large increases in community welfare, although costs of provision are not considered here.
NMV database
CRC WSC IRP2 work

- An extensive review of non-market values of water sensitive systems and practices

Review of NMVs

- Comprehensive search of literature
  - Online databases
  - Grey literature from google
  - Research reports from CRC partners
  - Working papers/ conference proceedings
  - Author profiles

- Key words : Non-market valuation methods
  Themes related to urban water management

- Studies published during 2000-2017

- Review Report
Review of NMVs

- Reviewed 345 studies related to water sensitive urban systems and practices
- 181 studies reported non-market values
- More than 400 non-market values were recorded
Distribution of studies by themes

- 1 = Green infrastructure
- 2 = Water supply and pricing
- 3 = Ecological and envt. value of water
- 4 = Improved groundwater quality
- 5 = Wastewater management
- 6 = Climate change mitigation
- 7 = Flood hazard reduction
- 8 = Non-point source pollution

Australian studies - bubbles with patterns; International studies - bubbles with solid fill.
Size of each bubble shows the percentage of studies under each theme.
Distribution of studies by location
Distribution of studies by method used
NMV database

- Started with the Australian studies
- Information from 52 studies (233 non-market values) have been included so far
- Information organized in an excel spreadsheet-based database
## NMV database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs. ID</th>
<th>Paper ID</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Value Location</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Value Type</th>
<th>System / Service</th>
<th>Definition of Marginal Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ambrey and Fleming (2014)</td>
<td>Public Greenspace and Life Satisfaction in Urban Australia</td>
<td>Entire Australia</td>
<td>Green Space</td>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>PoS</td>
<td>WTP per household for a 1 per cent (141 square metres) increase in public green space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ambrey and Fleming (2014)</td>
<td>Public Greenspace and Life Satisfaction in Urban Australia</td>
<td>Entire Australia</td>
<td>Green Space</td>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>PoS</td>
<td>Household income a household would sacrifice for one standard deviation (0.249 per cent) increase in public green space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bennett et al (2008)</td>
<td>The economic value of improved environmental health in Victorian rivers</td>
<td>Moorabool river (large pre-urban regulated river)</td>
<td>Ecological &amp; environmental value</td>
<td>Native Fish</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>WTP per household for a 1% increase of native fish (percentage of pre-settlement species and population levels)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bennett et al (2008)</td>
<td>The economic value of improved environmental health in Victorian rivers</td>
<td>Moorabool river (large pre-urban regulated river)</td>
<td>Ecological &amp; environmental value</td>
<td>Native vegetation</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>WTP per household for a 1% increase of native vegetation (percentage of river’s length with healthy vegetation on both banks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bennett et al (2008)</td>
<td>The economic value of improved environmental health in Victorian rivers</td>
<td>Moorabool river (large pre-urban regulated river)</td>
<td>Ecological &amp; environmental value</td>
<td>Water Birds</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>WTP per household for an increase of one water bird (observed populations of native birds and animals)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bennett et al (2008)</td>
<td>The economic value of improved environmental health in Victorian rivers</td>
<td>Moorabool river (large pre-urban regulated river)</td>
<td>Ecological &amp; environmental value</td>
<td>Native Fish</td>
<td>River</td>
<td>WTP per household for a 1% increase of native fish</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Distribution of values by themes

- Air pollution
- Flood
- Cultural heritage
- Stormwater
- Wastewater
- Green Space
- Water supply and pricing
- Ecological & environmental value
Use of the spreadsheet database – an example

- Residential development with WSUD in Perth
- Working with a private property developer
- 25 ha of residential area
- 15 ha of public open space
  - 4 Constructed wetlands
  - A living stream

CRC for Water Sensitive Cities
Case study: Bellevue Estate (WP5.3)

- Affected population
  - Potential increase of residential population – 800 people
  - Dwelling target – 348

- Socio-economic characteristics (Bellevue suburb)
  - Median age – 26, Average household size - 2.3

- Information on substitutes
  - Neighbourhood parks (.5ha) and local park (0.25 ha)
Identifying relevant valuation studies

- Main features of the urban design
  - Wetlands
  - Living stream

- Different types of non-market values available
Case study: Bellevue Estate

Values identified in the stakeholder consultations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Private</th>
<th>Local</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Amenity</td>
<td>• Amenity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recreation</td>
<td>• Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Connectivity (local access)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Water quality (nutrient, heavy metal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Health (active living)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced heat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ecological/biodiversity/habitat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Access to nature/mental health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Industrial employment opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Indigenous heritage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Urban design/practice and features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Wetlands</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Living streams</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Closest matching studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Amenity</th>
<th>% Increase of Property Value</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pandit et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Valuing public and private urban tree canopy cover</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Wetlands</td>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>% increase of property price having wetlands with in 300 m</td>
<td>2.3 (0.9 - 2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyakov et al. (2017)</td>
<td>The value of restoring urban drains to living streams</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Living stream</td>
<td>Amenity</td>
<td>% increase of property value within 200m of the restoration site</td>
<td>6.1 (2.8 – 6.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Benefit transfer - amenity value of wetlands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Context</th>
<th>Study site</th>
<th>Policy site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nature of wetland</td>
<td>• Urban (established)</td>
<td>• Urban (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size</td>
<td>• Mix of natural, man-made or extensively modified</td>
<td>• Man-made or extensively modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average distance to</td>
<td>• 0.3-329 ha</td>
<td>• 15 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wetlands from properties</td>
<td>• 943 m</td>
<td>• 300 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Amenity value of wetlands

Percentage increase of property value = 0.9 - 2.8 %
Number of properties = 348
Average property price = $380,000

Total amenity value for residents due to wetlands = $3,041,520
( $1,190,160 - 3,702,720)
Amenity values of living streams

Property price premium

Within 200m = 2.8 - 6.6 %
Number of properties within 200m = 170
Average property price = $380,000

Amenity value of living stream = $3,940,600
($2,454,800 - 4,263,600)
Amenity values
NMV database – work in progress

- Finalize the user guideline in collaboration with the Steering Committee members and case study partners

- Working on benefit transfer examples for selected case studies

- Add new information in the database as required
Thank you.